VIRGINIA SOLAR SURVEY

SURVEY RESULTS: FREQUENCY TABLE DATA

Developed and conducted by

Virginia Department of Energy Virginia Solar Initiative at the University of Virginia

APRIL 2022





TABLE OF CONTENTS

| SECTION 1: SOLAR READINESS | 1-10 |
|--|-------|
| SECTION 2: RENEWABLE ENERGY PROCUREMENT | 11-24 |
| SECTION 3: DISTRIBUTED GENERATION | 25-31 |
| SECTION 4: UTILITY SCALE SOLAR | 32-65 |
| SECTION 5: COMPREHENSIVE PLAN | 66-69 |
| SECTION 6: ZONING | 70-78 |
| SECTION 7: ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS | 79-87 |
| SECTION 8: ENERGY STORAGE | 88-91 |
| SECTION 9: COMMUNITY CLASSIFICATION (URBANICITY) | 92 |

Question 1.1. Is your locality preparing to update or is actively updating its solar policies, regulations, and/or application and permitting processes?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Yes, update is in progress | 40 | 36.7% |
| No, not at this time | 18 | 16.5% |
| No, but it is on our radar to do so | 25 | 22.9% |
| No, we have already updated our solar policies, regulations, and/or application and permitting processes | 26 | 23.9% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 1.2. What resources are your locality turning to (or did your locality turn to) for support and assistance in developing new policies and ordinances? Choose all that apply.

| | Frequency | Percent |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Other Virginia localities | 70 | 22.4% |
| Planning District Commission | 24 | 7.7% |
| Membership Associations | 39 | 12.5% |
| Local Extension Office and/or Soil & Water Conservation District | 5 | 1.6% |
| State agencies | 26 | 8.3% |
| Institutions of higher education | 18 | 5.8% |
| Private consultants | 28 | 9.0% |
| Solar industry professionals | 39 | 12.5% |
| Nonprofits and advocacy groups | 12 | 3.8% |
| National research entities and agencies | 14 | 4.5% |
| Utilities | 18 | 5.8% |
| None | 5 | 1.6% |
| Other | 14 | 4.5% |
| Total | 312 | 100.0% |

Question 1.3. State agencies such as Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy (DMME) and UVA offer technical assistance related to solar energy. With respect to solar development, would you be interested in training or technical assistance in any of the following areas for your locality? Choose all that apply.

| | Frequency | Percent |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Solar basics (foundational information about solar and solar+storage technology) | 43 | 11.8% |
| Technical assistance (tools and resources to assist with policy and process development) | 57 | 15.6% |
| Identification of previously disturbed land, brownfields or coal-impacted lands (DMME, DEQ assistance) | 24 | 6.6% |
| SolSmart Advisors Program (no-cost technical support and designation program to enhance solar readiness) | 24 | 6.6% |
| Energy procurement (solar leases, power purchase agreement, solar financing) | 28 | 7.7% |
| Tax and economic impact assessment (revenue share decision analysis) | 53 | 14.5% |
| Low impact development (pollinators, livestock grazing) | 37 | 10.1% |
| Locality best practices (Tips and Tricks from other localities) | 72 | 19.7% |
| No, not interested | 16 | 4.4% |
| Other | 11 | 3.0% |
| Total | 365 | 100.0% |

Question 1.4.1. To help DMME and UVA understand your priorities related to the siting and development of utility scale solar, please indicate your level of interest in the following topics: *Agricultural, farmland impacts*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| No interest | 19 | 17.4% |
| Minimal Interest | 6 | 5.5% |
| Some Interest | 26 | 23.9% |
| A lot of Interest | 36 | 33.0% |
| The Most Interest | 22 | 20.2% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 1.4.2. To help DMME and UVA understand your priorities related to the siting and development of utility scale solar, please indicate your level of interest in the following topics: *Decommissioning*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| No interest | 15 | 13.8% |
| Minimal Interest | 13 | 11.9% |
| Some Interest | 27 | 24.8% |
| A lot of Interest | 36 | 33.0% |
| The Most Interest | 18 | 16.5% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 1.4.3. To help DMME and UVA understand your priorities related to the siting and development of utility scale solar, please indicate your level of interest in the following topics: *Emergency response*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| No interest | 8 | 7.3% |
| Minimal Interest | 27 | 24.8% |
| Some Interest | 37 | 34.0% |
| A lot of Interest | 29 | 26.6% |
| The Most Interest | 8 | 7.3% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 1.4.4. To help DMME and UVA understand your priorities related to the siting and development of utility scale solar, please indicate your level of interest in the following topics: *End users, corporate buyers, energy off-takers*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| No interest | 14 | 12.8% |
| Minimal Interest | 27 | 24.8% |
| Some Interest | 39 | 35.8% |
| A lot of Interest | 23 | 21.1% |
| The Most Interest | 6 | 5.5% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 1.4.5. To help DMME and UVA understand your priorities related to the siting and development of utility scale solar, please indicate your level of interest in the following topics: *Energy equity, environmental justice*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| No interest | 14 | 12.8% |
| Minimal Interest | 27 | 24.8% |
| Some Interest | 38 | 34.9% |
| A lot of Interest | 24 | 22.0% |
| The Most Interest | 6 | 5.5% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 1.4.6. To help DMME and UVA understand your priorities related to the siting and development of utility scale solar, please indicate your level of interest in the following topics: Forests, timbering, carbon sequestration

| | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| No interest | 19 | 17.4% |
| Minimal Interest | 19 | 17.4% |
| Some Interest | 37 | 34.0% |
| A lot of Interest | 28 | 25.7% |
| The Most Interest | 6 | 5.5% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 1.4.7. To help DMME and UVA understand your priorities related to the siting and development of utility scale solar, please indicate your level of interest in the following topics: Low impact development, agrivoltaics

| | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| No interest | 12 | 11.0% |
| Minimal Interest | 13 | 11.9% |
| Some Interest | 42 | 38.6% |
| A lot of Interest | 35 | 32.1% |
| The Most Interest | 7 | 6.4% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 1.4.8. To help DMME and UVA understand your priorities related to the siting and development of utility scale solar, please indicate your level of interest in the following topics: *Property values, economic benefits, taxation*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| No interest | 8 | 7.3% |
| Minimal Interest | 8 | 7.3% |
| Some Interest | 27 | 24.8% |
| A lot of Interest | 46 | 42.2% |
| The Most Interest | 20 | 18.4% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 1.4.9. To help DMME and UVA understand your priorities related to the siting anddevelopment of utility scale solar, please indicate your level of interest in the following topics: Soil and water conservation and protection

| | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| No interest | 8 | 7.4% |
| Minimal Interest | 14 | 12.8% |
| Some Interest | 35 | 32.1% |
| A lot of Interest | 35 | 32.1% |
| The Most Interest | 17 | 15.6% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 1.4.10. To help DMME and UVA understand your priorities related to the siting anddevelopment of utility scale solar, please indicate your level of interest in the following topics: *Transmission, grid, energy storage, resiliency*

| | Frequency | Percent | |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|--|
| No interest | 12 | 11.0% | |
| Minimal Interest | 21 | 19.3% | |
| Some Interest | 41 | 37.6% | |
| A lot of Interest | 26 | 23.9% | |
| The Most Interest | 9 | 8.2% | |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | |

Question 1.4.11. To help DMME and UVA understand your priorities related to the siting anddevelopment of utility scale solar, please indicate your level of interest in the following topics: *Viewsheds, cultural, historic resources*

| | Frequency | Percent | |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|--|
| No interest | 6 | 5.5% | |
| Minimal Interest | 16 | 14.7% | |
| Some Interest | 30 | 27.5% | |
| A lot of Interest | 43 | 39.4% | |
| The Most Interest | 14 | 12.9% | |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | |

Question 1.4.12. To help DMME and UVA understand your priorities related to the siting and development of utility scale solar, please indicate your level of interest in the following topics: Wildlife, habitat fragmentation and conservation

| | Frequency | Percent | |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|--|
| No interest | 15 | 13.8% | |
| Minimal Interest | 11 | 10.1% | |
| Some Interest | 33 | 30.3% | |
| A lot of Interest | 43 | 39.4% | |
| The Most Interest | 7 | 6.4% | |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | |

Question 1.4.13. To help DMME and UVA understand your priorities related to the siting and development of utility scale solar, please indicate your level of interest in the following topics: *Landowner leases, property rights*

| | Frequency | Frequency Percent | |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| No interest | 9 | 8.3% | |
| Minimal Interest | 18 | 16.5% | |
| Some Interest | 40 | 36.7% | |
| A lot of Interest | 31 | 28.4% | |
| The Most Interest | 11 | 10.1% | |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | |

Question 2.1. Does your locality have a formalized process for making decisions regarding electricity procurement for your own public buildings? (i.e., necessary channels to go through to introduce a new fuel type into your energy mix)

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 15 | 13.8% |
| No | 52 | 47.7% |
| Not sure | 42 | 38.5% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 2.2. What buildings and entities are covered by your locality's electricity procurement? Check all that apply.

| | Frequency | Percent |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Administrative Offices | 43 | 12.3% |
| Fire & Rescue | 36 | 10.3% |
| Police Station | 41 | 11.7% |
| Courthouse | 37 | 10.6% |
| Schools (even if their procurement is managed by your locality's School Board) | 41 | 11.7% |
| Parks & Recreational Facilities | 40 | 11.5% |
| Public Works/ General Services/ Transportation & Fleet Services | 40 | 11.5% |
| Not sure | 58 | 16.6% |
| Other | 13 | 3.8% |
| Total | 349 | 100.0% |

Question 2.3. What is your locality's experience with using "energy-positive building design" for new public-school buildings?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|
| No Experience | 52 | 47.7% |
| Some Experience | 21 | 19.3% |
| Extensive Experience | 2 | 1.8% |
| Not Sure | 34 | 31.2% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 2.4. Does your locality have a policy requiring solar photovoltaics be considered in the design of new public buildings?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 6 | 5.5% |
| No | 78 | 71.6% |
| Not sure | 21 | 19.2% |
| Other | 4 | 3.7% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

| Question 2.5. Does your locality procure any of its own energy load from solar? | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|--|
| | Frequency | Percent | |
| Yes | 17 | 15.6% | |
| No, we have no plans to procure any of our own energy load from solar | 44 | 40.4% | |
| Not sure | 33 | 30.3% | |
| No, not at this time but we are working towards it within the next 2 years | 15 | 13.7% | |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | |

Question 2.6. (If response to Q2.5 = Yes or No, not at this time but we are working towards it within the next 2 years). Is (or will) any of the solar energy be procured from on-site solar installations such as rooftop or ground-mounted systems on public property?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (including non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|---|--|
| Yes | 22 | 20.2% | 68.7% |
| No | 2 | 1.8% | 6.3% |
| Not sure | 8 | 7.4% | 25.0% |
| Response Total | 32 | 29.4% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 77 | 70.6% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 2.7. (If response to Q2.5 = Yes or No, not at this time but we are working towards it within the next 2 years).

Are (or will) your solar installation(s) be owned or procured through a power purchase agreement (PPA)?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (including non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|---|-----------|---|--|
| Owned | 2 | 1.8% | 6.3% |
| PPA | 9 | 8.3% | 28.1% |
| Not sure | 19 | 17.4% | 59.4% |
| Both: we have project(s) that are owned and project(s) that are procured though a PPA | 2 | 1.9% | 6.2% |
| Response Total | 32 | 29.4% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 77 | 70.6% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

| Question 2.9. (If response to $Q2.5 = No$, we have no plans to procure any of our own energy load from solar) |
|--|
| Has your locality considered incorporating solar in its generation mix? |

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 5 | 4.6% | 11.4% |
| No | 25 | 22.9% | 56.8% |
| Not sure | 14 | 12.9% | 31.8% |
| Response Total | 44 | 40.4% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 65 | 59.6% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 2.10. (If response to Q2.9 = Yes)

Is your locality actively pursuing the installation of solar systems on public buildings or public land?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (including non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|---|--|
| Yes | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| No | 5 | 4.6% | 100.0% |
| Not sure | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Response Total | 5 | 4.6% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 104 | 95.4% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 2.11. (If response to Q2.9 = Yes)

Has your locality encountered barriers which have prevented solar from being incorporated into its energy generation mix?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (including non- repsondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|---|--|
| Yes | 4 | 3.7% | 80.0% |
| No | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Not sure | 1 | 0.9% | 20.0% |
| Response Total | 5 | 4.6% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 104 | 95.4% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 2.12.1. (If response to Q2.9 = Yes)

Please allocate 100 points between the choices below, giving the most points to the topics that pose the biggest barriers (total must add up to 100): Site not suitable for solar

| | Frequency | Total Percent (including non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|---|--|
| 0.00 Points | 1 | 0.9% | 25.0% |
| 20.00 Points | 1 | 0.9% | 25.0% |
| 25.00 Points | 1 | 0.9% | 25.0% |
| 50.00 Points | 1 | 0.9% | 25.0% |
| Response Total | 4 | 3.7% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 105 | 96.3% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 2.12.2. (If response to Q2.9 = Yes)

Please allocate 100 points between the choices below, giving the most points to the topics that pose the biggest barriers (total must add up to 100): *Upfront costs, financing*

| | Frequency | Total Percent (including non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|---|--|
| 15.00 Points | 1 | 0.9% | 25.0% |
| 20.00 Points | 1 | 0.9% | 25.0% |
| 50.00 Points | 1 | 0.9% | 25.0% |
| 100.00 Points | 1 | 0.9% | 25.0% |
| Response Total | 4 | 3.7% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 105 | 96.3% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 2.12.3. (If response to Q2.9 = Yes)

Please allocate 100 points between the choices below, giving the most points to the topics that pose the biggest barriers (total must add up to 100): Lack of staff time, capacity, bandwidth

| | Frequency | Total Percent (including non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|---|--|
| 0.00 Points | 2 | 1.9% | 50.0% |
| 20.00 Points | 1 | 0.9% | 25.0% |
| 25.00 Points | 1 | 0.9% | 25.0% |
| Response Total | 4 | 3.7% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 105 | 96.3% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 2.12.4. (If response to Q2.9 = Yes)

Please allocate 100 points between the choices below, giving the most points to the topics that pose the biggest barriers (total must add up to 100): Lack of support or direction from leadership

| | Frequency | Total Percent (including non-respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|---|--|
| 0.00 Points | 2 | 1.9% | 50.0% |
| 25.00 Points | 1 | 0.9% | 25.0% |
| 35.00 Points | 1 | 0.9% | 25.0% |
| Response Total | 4 | 3.7% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 105 | 96.3% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 2.12.5. (If response to Q2.9 = Yes) Please allocate 100 points between the choices below, giving the most points to the topics that pose the biggest barriers (total must add up to 100): Complication in the process

| | Frequency | Total Percent (including non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|---------------|-----------|---|--|
| 0.00 Points | 2 | 1.9% | 50.0% |
| 5.00 Points | 1 | 0.9% | 25.0% |
| 10.00 Points | 1 | 0.9% | 25.0% |
| ResponseTotal | 4 | 3.7% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 105 | 96.3% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 2.12.6. (If response to Q2.9 = Yes)

Please allocate 100 points between the choices below, giving the most points to the topics that pose the biggest barriers (total must add up to 100): *Other*

| | Frequency | Total Percent (including non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|---|--|
| 0.00 Points | 4 | 3.7% | 100.0% |
| Response Total | 4 | 3.7% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 105 | 96.3% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 2.13. (If response to Q2.7 = PPA or Both: we have project(s) that are owned and project(s) that are procured though a PPA)

your locality joined a PPA through a rider arrangement?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (including non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|---|--|
| Yes | 3 | 2.7% | 27.2% |
| No | 4 | 3.7% | 36.4% |
| Not Sure | 4 | 3.7% | 36.4% |
| Response Total | 11 | 10.1% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 98 | 89.9% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 2.14. (If response to Q2.13 = No or Not Sure) Are you interested in learning more about cooperative procurement models and examples?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (including non-respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|---|--|
| Yes | 4 | 3.7% | 50.0% |
| No | 2 | 1.8% | 25.0% |
| Not Sure | 2 | 1.8% | 25.0% |
| Response Total | 8 | 7.3% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 101 | 92.7% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 2.15. In the box below, please describe your biggest concerns and/or questions about incorporating solar into your locality's own energy generation mix, or select "No concerns" if you have none.

| | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Concerns/Questions (Please describe) | 33 | 30.3% |
| No concerns | 36 | 33.0% |
| Not sure | 40 | 36.7% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 2.16.1. Rate how familiar you are with each solar policy mechanism: Federal Investment

Tax Credit

| | Frequency | Percent |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|
| Not at all familiar | 52 | 47.7% |
| Slightly familiar | 34 | 31.2% |
| Somewhat familiar | 9 | 8.2% |
| Moderately familiar | 5 | 4.6% |
| Extremely familiar | 4 | 3.7% |
| No response | 5 | 4.6% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 2.16.2. Rate how familiar you are with each solar policy mechanism: Net-metering

| | Frequency | Percent |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|
| Not at all familiar | 45 | 41.3% |
| Slightly familiar | 33 | 30.3% |
| Somewhat familiar | 11 | 10.1% |
| Moderately familiar | 8 | 7.3% |
| Extremely familiar | 7 | 6.4% |
| No response | 5 | 4.6% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 2.16.3. Rate how familiar you are with each solar policy mechanism: *Virtual net-metering (meter aggregation)*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|
| Not at all familiar | 71 | 65.1% |
| Slightly familiar | 21 | 19.3% |
| Somewhat familiar | 8 | 7.3% |
| Moderately familiar | 1 | 0.9% |
| Extremely familiar | 3 | 2.8% |
| No response | 5 | 4.6% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 2.16.4. Rate how familiar you are with each solar policy mechanism: *Power Purchase Agreements (including Virtual PPAs)*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|
| Not at all familiar | 48 | 44.0% |
| Slightly familiar | 29 | 26.6% |
| Somewhat familiar | 17 | 15.6% |
| Moderately familiar | 5 | 4.6% |
| Extremely familiar | 5 | 4.6% |
| No response | 5 | 4.6% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

| Question 2.16.5. | Rate how familiar y | you are with each solar polic | y mechanism: Shared, |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Community Solar | | | |
| | | | Dorgont (including non |

| | Frequency | Percent (including non- respondents) |
|---------------------|-----------|---|
| Not at all familiar | 51 | 46.8% |
| Slightly familiar | 23 | 21.1% |
| Somewhat familiar | 19 | 17.4% |
| Moderately familiar | 6 | 5.5% |
| Extremely familiar | 4 | 3.7% |
| No response | 6 | 5.5% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 3.1.1. Regarding residential and commercial solar permitting and inspections, does your locality provide any of the following online: Summary of the permitting process (permitting checklist)

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 59 | 54.1% |
| No | 48 | 44.0% |
| Not sure | 2 | 1.9% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 3.1.2. Regarding residential and commercial solar permitting and inspections, does your locality provide any of the following online: *Examples of typical building plans*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 16 | 14.7% |
| No | 90 | 82.6% |
| Not sure | 3 | 2.8% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 3.1.3. Regarding residential and commercial solar permitting and inspections, does your locality provide any of the following online: *Fee schedule*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 76 | 69.7% |
| No | 32 | 29.4% |
| Not sure | 1 | 0.9% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 3.1.4. Regarding residential and commercial solar permitting and inspections, does your locality provide any of the following online: *Local design criteria for building permits*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 42 | 38.5% |
| No | 60 | 55.1% |
| Not sure | 7 | 6.4% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 3.1.5. Regarding residential and commercial solar permitting and inspections, does your locality provide any of the following online: *Incentives (summary of policy and/or forms)*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 15 | 13.8% |
| No | 88 | 80.7% |
| Not sure | 6 | 5.5% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 3.2.1. Regarding residential and commercial solar permitting and inspections, can an applicant in your locality do any of the following online: *Apply for a building permit*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 68 | 62.4% |
| No | 37 | 33.9% |
| Not sure | 4 | 3.7% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 3.2.2. Regarding residential and commercial solar permitting and inspections, can an applicant in your locality do any of the following online: Submit construction plans/drawings

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 64 | 58.7% |
| No | 40 | 36.7% |
| Not sure | 5 | 4.6% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 3.2.3. Regarding residential and commercial solar permitting and inspections, can an applicant in your locality do any of the following online: *Schedule an inspection*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 51 | 46.8% |
| No | 51 | 46.8% |
| Not sure | 7 | 6.4% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 3.3. Research shows that standardized permitting requirements across neighboring localities lowers the cost of solar installation. To what extent are you interested in your locality adopting a uniform permit review procedure?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Not all interested | 16 | 14.7% |
| Somewhat interested | 47 | 43.1% |
| Very interested | 18 | 16.5% |
| Our locality has already adopted standardized permitting requirements | 7 | 6.4% |
| Not Sure | 21 | 19.3% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 3.4. Research shows that online permitting lowers the cost of solar installation. To what extent are you interested in your locality adopting an online permit review procedure, such as the SolarAPP tool offered through the National Renewable Energy Laboratory?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Not all interested | 18 | 16.5% |
| Somewhat interested | 24 | 22.0% |
| Very interested | 18 | 16.5% |
| Our locality has already adopted standardized permitting requirements | 30 | 27.6% |
| Not Sure | 19 | 17.4% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 3.5. If your locality operates an electric utility, does it allow customers generating solar energy to "net meter" any excess solar generation?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 3 | 2.8% |
| No | 5 | 4.6% |
| Not sure | 12 | 11.0% |
| Not applicable | 89 | 81.6% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 3.6. Has your locality adopted by ordinance a policy to exempt or partially exempt solar equipment from property taxes, as permitted by Virginia Code Section 58.1-3661?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 10 | 9.2% |
| No | 64 | 58.7% |
| Not sure | 35 | 32.1% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 3.7. (If response to Q3.6 = No; n = 64)

To the best of your knowledge, why does your locality not exempt or partially exempt solar equipment from property taxes? Choose all that apply.

| | Number of Selections | Percent |
|--|----------------------|---------|
| Unaware tax exemption was allowed | 5 | 7.0% |
| Because of potential fiscal impacts/revenue loss | 20 | 27.8% |
| Citizens have not expressed interest | 15 | 20.8% |
| Not sure | 26 | 36.1% |
| Other | 6 | 8.3% |
| Response Total | 72 | 100.0% |

SECTION 4: UTILITY SCALE SOLAR

| Question 4.1. Is your locality large enough to accomodate a large or utility scale solar facility? | | | | |
|--|---|--------|--------|--|
| | Frequency Total Percent (incuding non-respondents) Valid Percent (of localities that responded) | | | |
| Yes | 81 | 74.3% | 75.0% | |
| No | 27 | 24.8% | 25.0% | |
| Response Total | 108 | 99.1% | 100.0% | |
| Not Included | 1 | 0.9% | N/A | |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A | |

Question 4.2. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

Has your locality ever reviewed an application (e.g., conditional use permit, special use permit, special exception, or Code of Virginia section 15.2-2232 compliance review) for a large or utility scale solar facility?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 51 | 46.8% | 63.0% |
| No | 28 | 25.7% | 34.5% |
| Not sure | 2 | 1.8% | 2.5% |
| Response Total | 81 | 74.3% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 28 | 25.7% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

SECTION 4: UTILITY SCALE SOLAR

Question 4.3.1. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes) Per 9VAC15-60-130, for projects with capacity of 500 KW up to 5 MW, please indicate: How many applications reviewed total?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 13 | 11.9% | 25.5% |
| 1 | 11 | 10.1% | 21.5% |
| 2 | 6 | 5.5% | 11.7% |
| 3 | 12 | 11.0% | 23.5% |
| 4 | 3 | 2.8% | 5.9% |
| 5 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| 7 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| 8 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| 10 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| 15 | 2 | 1.9% | 3.9% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

SECTION 4: UTILITY SCALE SOLAR

Question 4.3.2. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes)

Per 9VAC15-60-130, for projects with capacity of 500 KW up to 5 MW, please indicate: How many applications currently under review?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 33 | 30.3% | 64.7% |
| 1 | 10 | 9.2% | 19.6% |
| 2 | 3 | 2.8% | 5.9% |
| 3 | 2 | 1.8% | 3.9% |
| 4 | 2 | 1.8% | 3.9% |
| 5 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.3.3. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes) Per 9VAC15-60-130, for projects with capacity of 500 KW up to 5 MW, please indicate: How many applications approved?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 24 | 22.1% | 4705.9% |
| 1 | 12 | 11.0% | 2352.9% |
| 2 | 6 | 5.5% | 1176.5% |
| 3 | 5 | 4.6% | 980.4% |
| 5 | 1 | 0.9% | 196.1% |
| 6 | 1 | 0.9% | 196.1% |
| 12 | 1 | 0.9% | 196.1% |
| 14 | 1 | 0.9% | 196.1% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 10000.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | NA |

Question 4.3.4. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes)

Per 9VAC15-60-130, for projects with capacity of 500 KW up to 5 MW, please indicate: How many applications withdrawn (and not resubmitted)?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 42 | 38.6% | 82.3% |
| 1 | 7 | 6.4% | 13.7% |
| 2 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| 3 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 10000.0% | N/A |

Question 4.3.5. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes) Per 9VAC15-60-130, for projects with capacity of 500 KW up to 5 MW, please indicate: How many applications denied?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 44 | 40.3% | 86.3% |
| 1 | 3 | 2.8% | 5.9% |
| 2 | 3 | 2.8% | 5.9% |
| 4 | 1 | 0.9% | 1.9% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Inlcuded | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.4.1. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes) For projects with a capacity of 5-79 MW, please indicate: How many applications reviewed total? (Include those currently under review)

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 16 | 14.7% | 31.4% |
| 1 | 11 | 10.1% | 21.6% |
| 2 | 6 | 5.5% | 11.8% |
| 3 | 11 | 10.1% | 21.6% |
| 5 | 4 | 3.7% | 7.8% |
| 6 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| 9 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| 30* | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

^{*}Public data sources indicate this one response of 30 may be a typo. The survey team interprets this as 3 for the purposes of interpretation and discussion.

Question 4.4.2. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes) For projects with a capacity of 5-79 MW, please indicate: How many applications currently under review?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 44 | 40.4% | 86.3% |
| 1 | 6 | 5.5% | 11.7% |
| 3 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.4.3. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes) For projects with a capacity of 5-79 MW, please indicate: How many applications approved?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 22 | 20.2% | 43.1% |
| 1 | 11 | 10.1% | 21.6% |
| 2 | 4 | 3.7% | 7.8% |
| 3 | 10 | 9.2% | 19.6% |
| 5 | 2 | 1.8% | 3.9% |
| 6 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| 9 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.4.4. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes) For projects with a capacity of 5-79 MW, please indicate: How many applications withdrawn (and not resubmitted)?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 45 | 41.3% | 88.2% |
| 1 | 4 | 3.7% | 7.9% |
| 2 | 2 | 1.8% | 3.9% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.4.5. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes) For projects with a capacity of 5-79 MW, please indicate: How many applications denied?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 46 | 42.2% | 90.2% |
| 1 | 4 | 3.7% | 7.8% |
| 2 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.5.1. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes) For projects with a capacity of 80-149 MW, please indicate: How many applications reviewed total? (Include those currently under review)

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 34 | 31.2% | 66.6% |
| 1 | 10 | 9.2% | 19.6% |
| 2 | 2 | 1.8% | 3.9% |
| 3 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| 4 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| 5 | 3 | 2.8% | 5.9% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.5.2. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes) For projects with a capacity of 80-149 MW, please indicate: How many applications currently under review?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 48 | 44.0% | 94.1% |
| 1 | 3 | 2.8% | 5.9% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

43

Question 4.5.3. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes) For projects with a capacity of 80-149 MW, please indicate: How many applications approved?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 39 | 35.8% | 76.5% |
| 1 | 7 | 6.5% | 13.7% |
| 2 | 2 | 1.8% | 3.9% |
| 3 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| 4 | 2 | 1.8% | 3.9% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.5.4. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes) For projects with a capacity of 80-149 MW, please indicate: How many applications withdrawn (and not resubmitted)?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 45 | 41.3% | 88.2% |
| 1 | 4 | 3.7% | 7.9% |
| 2 | 2 | 1.8% | 3.9% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.5.5. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes) For projects with a capacity of 5-79 MW, please indicate: How many applications denied?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 48 | 44.0% | 94.1% |
| 1 | 3 | 2.8% | 5.9% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.6.1. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes)

For projects with a capacity of 150 MW and above, please indicate:

How many applications reviewed total? (Include those currently under review)

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 38 | 34.9% | 74.5% |
| 1 | 10 | 9.2% | 19.6% |
| 2 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| 3 | 2 | 1.8% | 3.9% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.6.2. (*If response to Q4.2 = Yes*) For projects with a capacity of 150 MW and above, please indicate:

How many applications currently under review?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 48 | 44.0% | 94.1% |
| 1 | 2 | 1.9% | 3.9% |
| 2 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.6.3. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes) For projects with a capacity of 150 MW and above, please indicate: How many applications approved?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 42 | 38.6% | 82.3% |
| 1 | 8 | 7.3% | 15.7% |
| 2 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.6.4. (*If response to Q4.2 = Yes*) For projects with a capacity of 150 MW and above, please indicate:

How many applications withdrawn (and not resubmitted)?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 49 | 45.0% | 96.0% |
| 1 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| 2 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.6.5. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes) For projects with a capacity of 150 MW and above, please indicate: How many applications denied?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| 0 | 50 | 45.9% | 98.0% |
| 1 | 1 | 0.9% | 2.0% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.8. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

Regarding siting agreements, as passed in 2020 and updated in the 2021 legislative session, Virginia code section 15.2-2316.7 states:

"Any applicant for a solar project or energy storage project shall give to the host locality written notice of the applicant's intent to locate a solar in such locality and request a meeting. Such applicant shall meet, discuss, and negotiate a siting agreement with such locality."

Are you aware of this section of the code?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 54 | 49.5% | 66.6% |
| No | 22 | 20.2% | 27.2% |
| Not sure | 5 | 4.6% | 6.2% |
| Response Total | 81 | 74.3% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 28 | 25.7% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.9. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

Has your locality ever entered into a siting agreement negotiation process for a solar project?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|---|-----------|--|--|
| Yes, at least one agreement was negotiated | 8 | 7.3% | 9.9% |
| Negotiations are in progress, but not yet finalized | 10 | 9.2% | 12.3% |
| No | 63 | 57.8% | 77.8% |
| Response Total | 81 | 74.3% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 28 | 25.7% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.10. (If response to Q4.2 = Yes)

Would your locality be interested in participating in a case study project to help develop Virginia-based best practice guidance related to utility scale solar development?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 22 | 20.2% | 43.1% |
| No | 29 | 26.6% | 56.9% |
| Response Total | 51 | 46.8% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 58 | 53.2% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.11.1. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

Do your local regulations specify criteria for the evaluation of the following topics when reviewing a utility-scale solar facility application:

Avoidance of invasive species

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 32 | 29.4% | 39.5% |
| No | 36 | 33.0% | 44.4% |
| Not Sure | 13 | 11.9% | 16.1% |
| Response Total | 81 | 74.3% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 28 | 25.7% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.11.2. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

Do your local regulations specify criteria for the evaluation of the following topics when reviewing a utility-scale solar facility application:

Conservation easements, in Land Use Assessment Program

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 30 | 27.5% | 37.0% |
| No | 43 | 39.5% | 53.1% |
| Not Sure | 8 | 7.3% | 9.9% |
| Response Total | 81 | 74.3% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 28 | 25.7% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | NA |

Question 4.11.3. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

Do your local regulations specify criteria for the evaluation of the following topics when reviewing a utility-scale solar facility application:

Erosion and sediment control

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 68 | 62.4% | 85.0% |
| No | 9 | 8.2% | 11.2% |
| Not Sure | 3 | 2.8% | 3.8% |
| Response Total | 80 | 73.4% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 29 | 26.6% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.11.4. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

Do your local regulations specify criteria for the evaluation of the following topics when reviewing a utility-scale solar facility application:

Habitat fragmentation, wildlife-friendly design elements (e.g., fencing)

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 40 | 36.7% | 49.4% |
| No | 34 | 31.2% | 42.0% |
| Not Sure | 7 | 6.4% | 8.6% |
| Response Total | 81 | 74.3% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 28 | 25.7% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.11.5. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

Do your local regulations specify criteria for the evaluation of the following topics when reviewing a utility-scale solar facility application:

Historic, cultural resources

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 53 | 48.6% | 65.4% |
| No | 23 | 21.1% | 28.4% |
| Not Sure | 5 | 4.6% | 6.2% |
| Response Total | 81 | 74.3% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 28 | 25.7% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.11.6. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

Do your local regulations specify criteria for the evaluation of the following topics when reviewing a utility-scale solar facility application:

Redevelopment of brownfields or previously-developed sites for solar

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 20 | 18.3% | 24.7% |
| No | 51 | 46.8% | 63.0% |
| Not Sure | 10 | 9.2% | 12.3% |
| Response Total | 81 | 74.3% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 28 | 25.7% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.11.7. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

Do your local regulations specify criteria for the evaluation of the following topics when reviewing a utility-scale solar facility application:

*Pollinator-friendly species**

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 30 | 27.5% | 37.5% |
| No | 37 | 44.0% | 46.2% |
| Not Sure | 13 | 11.9% | 16.3% |
| Response Total | 80 | 73.4% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 29 | 26.6% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.11.8. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

Do your local regulations specify criteria for the evaluation of the following topics when reviewing a utility-scale solar facility application:

Scenic rivers

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 27 | 24.8% | 33.7% |
| No | 42 | 38.5% | 52.5% |
| Not Sure | 11 | 10.1% | 13.8% |
| Response Total | 80 | 73.4% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 29 | 26.6% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.11.9. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

Do your local regulations specify criteria for the evaluation of the following topics when reviewing a utility-scale solar facility application:

State Wildlife Action Plan

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 11 | 10.1% | 13.6% |
| No | 48 | 44.0% | 59.2% |
| Not Sure | 22 | 20.2% | 27.2% |
| Response Total | 81 | 74.3% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 28 | 25.7% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.12.1. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

To what extent do your local regulations enable the following low impact development practices for utility scale solar facilities:

Pollinator-friendly planting

| Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|-----------|--|---|
| 1 | 0.9% | 1.2% |
| 18 | 16.5% | 22.2% |
| 14 | 12.9% | 17.3% |
| 17 | 15.6% | 21.0% |
| 31 | 28.4% | 38.3% |
| 81 | 74.3% | 100.0% |
| 28 | 25.7% | N/A |
| 109 | 100.0% | N/A |
| | 1 18 14 17 31 81 | Frequency 1 0.9% 18 16.5% 14 12.9% 17 15.6% 31 28.4% 81 74.3% 28 25.7% |

Question 4.12.2. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

To what extent do your local regulations enable the following low impact development practices for utility scale solar facilities:

Vegetative ground cover (native or otherwise)

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|--|-----------|--|--|
| Not allowed | 1 | 0.9% | 1.2% |
| Allowed, but not recommended or required | 7 | 6.4% | 8.6% |
| Recommended, but not required | 7 | 6.4% | 8.6% |
| Required to be satisfied | 42 | 38.6% | 51.9% |
| Silent, No Position | 24 | 22.0% | 29.7% |
| Response Total | 81 | 74.3% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 28 | 25.7% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.12.3. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

To what extent do your local regulations enable the following low impact development practices for utility scale solar facilities:

Animal grazing as a means of ground maintenance

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|--|-----------|--|--|
| Not allowed | 2 | 1.8% | 2.5% |
| Allowed, but not recommended or required | 22 | 20.2% | 27.2% |
| Recommended, but not required | 11 | 10.1% | 13.5% |
| Required to be satisfied | 3 | 2.8% | 3.7% |
| Silent, No Position | 43 | 39.4% | 53.1% |
| Response Total | 81 | 74.3% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 28 | 25.7% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.12.4. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

To what extent do your local regulations enable the following low impact development practices for utility scale solar facilities:

Apiary/Beekeeping

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|--|-----------|--|--|
| Not allowed | 2 | 1.8% | 2.5% |
| Allowed, but not recommended or required | 24 | 22.0% | 29.6% |
| Recommended, but not required | 6 | 5.5% | 7.4% |
| Required to be satisfied | 3 | 2.8% | 3.7% |
| Silent, No Position | 46 | 42.2% | 56.8% |
| Response Total | 81 | 74.3% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 28 | 25.7% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.12.5. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

To what extent do your local regulations enable the following low impact development practices for utility scale solar facilities:

Dual-use of agriculture and solar photovoltaics (agrivoltaics)

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|--|-----------|--|--|
| Not allowed | 2 | 1.8% | 2.5% |
| Allowed, but not recommended or required | 22 | 20.2% | 27.2% |
| Recommended, but not required | 6 | 5.5% | 7.4% |
| Required to be satisfied | 4 | 3.7% | 4.9% |
| Silent, No Position | 47 | 43.1% | 58.0% |
| Response Total | 81 | 74.3% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 28 | 25.7% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 4.12.6. (If response to Q4.1 = Yes)

To what extent do your local regulations enable the following low impact development practices for utility scale solar facilities:

Soil health management

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|--|-----------|--|--|
| Not allowed | 1 | 0.9% | 1.2% |
| Allowed, but not recommended or required | 13 | 11.9% | 16.0% |
| Recommended, but not required | 11 | 10.1% | 13.6% |
| Required to be satisfied | 16 | 14.7% | 19.8% |
| Silent, No Position | 40 | 36.7% | 49.4% |
| Response Total | 81 | 74.3% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 28 | 25.7% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 5.1.1. Does your comprehensive plan or policies adopted by reference speak to any of the following topics: *Sustainability goals*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Yes, adopted | 47 | 43.1% |
| No, but we are in the process of updating to include | 14 | 12.8% |
| No, but we are contemplating adding it in next revision cycle | 15 | 13.8% |
| No, no current plans to include | 22 | 20.2% |
| Not Sure | 11 | 10.1% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 5.1.2. Does your comprehensive plan or policies adopted by reference speak to anyof the following topics: *Renewable/Clean Energy*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Yes, adopted | 30 | 27.5% |
| No, but we are in the process of updating to include | 14 | 12.8% |
| No, but we are contemplating adding it in next revision cycle | 24 | 22.0% |
| No, no current plans to include | 31 | 28.5% |
| Not Sure | 10 | 9.2% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 5.1.3. Does your comprehensive plan or policies adopted by reference speak to anyof the following topics: *Greenhouse gas emissions, carbon reduction strategies*

| | Frequency | Percent |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Yes, adopted | 19 | 17.4% |
| No, but we are in the process of updating to include | 8 | 7.4% |
| No, but we are contemplating adding it in next revision cycle | 17 | 15.6% |
| No, no current plans to include | 52 | 47.7% |
| Not Sure | 13 | 11.9% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 5.1.4. Does your comprehensive plan or policies adopted by reference speak to anyof the following topics: Community disaster preparedness and energy resiliency

| | Frequency | Percent |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Yes, adopted | 20 | 18.4% |
| No, but we are in the process of updating to include | 14 | 12.8% |
| No, but we are contemplating adding it in next revision cycle | 22 | 20.2% |
| No, no current plans to include | 34 | 31.2% |
| Not Sure | 19 | 17.4% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 5.2. Does your comprehensive plan prioritize general areas or types of lands that are preferred for utility scale solar energy generation facilities?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 18 | 16.5% |
| No | 76 | 69.7% |
| Other (Please explain) | 15 | 13.8% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 5.3. (If response to Q5.2 = Yes; n = 18) What are the characteristics of the land identified? Choose all that apply.

| | Number of Selections | Percent |
|---|----------------------|---------|
| Previously-disturbed land, brownfields, coal- impacted lands including Abandoned Mine Lands | 7 | 18.9% |
| Industrial land | 6 | 16.3% |
| Agricultural land | 10 | 27.0% |
| Land adjacent or within a certain proximity to existing electric infrastructure/grid | 10 | 27.0% |
| Commercial timber land | 2 | 5.4% |
| Other | 2 | 5.4% |
| Total | 37 | 100.0% |

SECTION 6: ZONING

Question 6.1. Does your locality have a zoning ordinance or UDO (unified development ordinance)?

Frequency
Percent

100
91.7%

Question 6.2. (If response to Q6.1 = Yes) Does your locality's ordinance provide a clear regulatory pathway for approval of distributed generation solar projects?

9

109

8.3%

100.0%

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 48 | 44.0% | 48.0% |
| No | 38 | 34.9% | 38.0% |
| Not Sure | 14 | 12.8% | 14.0% |
| Response Total | 100 | 91.7% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 9 | 8.3% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Yes

No

Total

Question 6.3. (If response to Q6.3= Yes)

Is the regulatory pathway for approval of distributed generation solar projects an administrative approval process?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 19 | 17.4% | 39.6% |
| No | 23 | 21.1% | 47.9% |
| Not Sure | 1 | 0.9% | 2.1% |
| Other (Please explain) | 5 | 4.6% | 10.4% |
| Response Total | 48 | 44.0% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 61 | 56.0% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 6.4. (If response to Q6.1 = Yes)

Does your locality's ordinance provide a clear regulatory pathway for approval of utility scale solar facilities?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|--|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 56 | 51.4% | 56.0% |
| No | 23 | 21.1% | 23.0% |
| Not sure | 7 | 6.4% | 7.0% |
| Not applicable because our locality is too small or developed to accomodate any utility scale solar projects | 14 | 12.8% | 14.0% |
| Response Total | 100 | 91.7% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 9 | 8.3% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 6.5. (If response to Q6.4 = Yes; n = 56) What regulatory pathway(s) are used for approval of utility scale solar projects? Check all that

apply:

| | Number of Selections | Percent |
|---|----------------------|---------|
| With a conditional use permit, special use permit, special exception permit | 53 | 76.8% |
| By-right in certain districts | 11 | 15.9% |
| In an overlay district | 1 | 1.5% |
| In a floating district | 2 | 2.9% |
| Other | 2 | 2.9% |
| Total | 69 | 100.0% |

Question 6.6. (If response to Q6.5 = With a conditional use permit, special use permit, special exception permit; n = 53) In which types of districts is a conditional use permit/special use permit/special exception an approval pathway for utility scale solar? Choose all that apply:

| | Number of Selections | Percent |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Agricultural/Rural | 47 | 40.2% |
| Residential | 10 | 8.5% |
| Civic/Institutional | 5 | 4.3% |
| Commercial | 19 | 16.2% |
| Manufacturing/Industrial | 26 | 22.2% |
| Floating Zone or Overlay District | 5 | 4.3% |
| Other | 5 | 4.3% |
| Total | 117 | 100.0% |

Question 6.7. (If response to Q6.4 = Yes) Is the dual-use of agriculture and solar photovoltaics (agrivoltaics) allowed in non-agricultural districts?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 20 | 18.4% | 35.7% |
| No | 25 | 22.9% | 44.7% |
| Not sure | 11 | 10.1% | 19.6% |
| Response Total | 56 | 51.4% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 53 | 48.6% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 6.8. (If **Q6.4** = **No**)

Is your locality actively working towards adding a clear regulatory pathway for utility scale solar facilities to the ordinance?

| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| Yes | 8 | 7.3% | 34.8% |
| No | 11 | 10.1% | 47.8% |
| Not sure | 4 | 3.7% | 17.4% |
| Response Total | 23 | 21.1% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 86 | 78.9% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 6.9. (If Q6.8 = Yes) What regulatory pathways is/are your locality adding for utility scale solar? Choose all that apply:

| | Number of Selections | Percent |
|--|----------------------|---------|
| By-right in certain districts | 3 | 27.3% |
| With a conditional use permit/special use permit/special exception in specific districts | 7 | 63.6% |
| In an overlay district | 1 | 9.1% |
| Total | 11 | 100.0% |

| Question 6.10. Has your locality adopted a solar ordinance? | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|--|
| | Frequency | Percent | |
| Yes | 45 | 41.3% | |
| We are in the process of adopting a solar ordinance | 10 | 9.2% | |
| No | 43 | 39.4% | |
| Not sure | 1 | 0.9% | |
| Other (Please explain) | 10 | 9.2% | |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | |

Question 6.11. (If Q6.10 = Yes or We are in the process of adopting a solar ordinance; n = 55)

Which of the following solar applications does/will your solar ordinance address? Choose all that apply:

| | Number of Selections | Percent |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Residential | 36 | 23.1% |
| Commercial, Institutional | 33 | 21.2% |
| Agricultural generators | 18 | 11.5% |
| Shared or Community solar | 15 | 9.6% |
| Utility scale solar | 51 | 32.7% |
| Not sure | 1 | 0.6% |
| Other | 2 | 1.3% |
| Total | 156 | 100.0% |

Question 6.12. (If Q6.10 = Yes or We are in the process of adopting a solar ordinance; n = 55)

Does it, or will it, address any of the following? Check all that apply:

| | Number of Responses | Percent |
|--|---------------------|---------|
| Provisions for generally accepted national standards for solar panels | 28 | 10.2% |
| Provisions for generally accepted national standards for battery storage technologies for solar photovoltaic | 16 | 5.8% |
| Property line setbacks | 52 | 18.9% |
| Vegetated buffers or screening | 49 | 17.8% |
| Erosion & sediment control | 46 | 16.7% |
| Agricultural lands | 30 | 10.9% |
| Decommissioning Plan requirements above and beyond state code requirements | 45 | 16.4% |
| Agrivoltaics | 6 | 2.2% |
| Other | 3 | 1.1% |
| Total | 275 | 100.0% |

Question 7.1. Has your locality considered or reviewed one or more economic impact analyses relating to solar development? (This includes economic impact analyses created internally by your locality.)

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 37 | 33.9% |
| No | 55 | 50.5% |
| Not sure | 17 | 15.6% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 7.2. How important are DIRECT economic impacts (e.g., tax revenue, revenue share or siting agreement proceeds) in your recommendation to approve or deny a utility scale solar application?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|
| Not at all important | 7 | 6.4% |
| Slightly important | 15 | 13.8% |
| Moderately important | 26 | 23.9% |
| Very important | 31 | 28.4% |
| No response | 5 | 4.6% |
| Not sure | 25 | 22.9% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 7.3.1. How important are each of the INDIRECT economic impacts listed below in your recommendation to approve or deny a utility scale solar application:

Generation of local construction jobs

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|
| Not at all important | 18 | 16.5% |
| Slightly important | 25 | 22.9% |
| Moderately important | 21 | 19.3% |
| Very important | 16 | 14.7% |
| No response | 3 | 2.8% |
| Not sure | 26 | 23.8% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 7.3.2. How important are each of the INDIRECT economic impacts listed below in your recommendation to approve or deny a utility scale solar application:

Increased revenue and demand for local businesses and services during construction and decommissioning

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|
| Not at all important | 18 | 16.5% |
| Slightly important | 24 | 22.0% |
| Moderately important | 24 | 22.0% |
| Very important | 12 | 11.0% |
| No response | 3 | 2.8% |
| Not sure | 28 | 25.7% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 7.3.3. How important are each of the INDIRECT economic impacts listed below in your recommendation to approve or deny a utility scale solar application:

Increased revenue and demand for local businesses and services

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|
| Not at all important | 16 | 14.7% |
| Slightly important | 25 | 22.9% |
| Moderately important | 19 | 17.4% |
| Very important | 16 | 14.7% |
| No response | 4 | 3.7% |
| Not sure | 29 | 26.6% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 7.3.4. How important are each of the INDIRECT economic impacts listed below in your recommendation to approve or deny a utility scale solar application:

Financial benefits to the property owner leasing their land to the solar developer

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|
| Not at all important | 26 | 23.8% |
| Slightly important | 29 | 26.6% |
| Moderately important | 10 | 9.2% |
| Very important | 10 | 9.2% |
| No response | 5 | 4.6% |
| Not sure | 29 | 26.6% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 7.4. How familiar are you with new legislation which makes changes to the current Machinery and Tools (M&T) tax exemption for solar projects and introduces a revenue share option for projects over 5MW? (VA Code 58.1-2636)

| | Frequency | Percent |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|
| Not at all | 41 | 37.6% |
| Slightly familiar | 29 | 26.6% |
| Moderately familiar | 21 | 19.3% |
| Very familiar | 13 | 11.9% |
| No response | 5 | 4.6% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 7.5. Has your locality evaluated the potential economic impacts of adopting a revenue share assessment ordinance as opposed to using the default M&T/real estate tax rate?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 29 | 26.6% |
| No | 53 | 48.6% |
| Not sure | 27 | 24.8% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 7.6. Has your locality used SolTax, a free, online computational tool to help localities analyze potential revenues under both the M&T/real estate tax rate and revenue share assessment models?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 15 | 13.8% |
| No | 63 | 57.8% |
| Not sure | 31 | 28.4% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

| Question 7.7. Has your locality adopted or is in the process of adoping a revenue share ordinance? | | |
|--|-----------|---------|
| | Frequency | Percent |
| Yes, adopted | 7 | 6.4% |
| Yes, in the process of adopting | 9 | 8.3% |
| No | 65 | 59.6% |
| Not sure | 28 | 25.7% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 7.8. Effective July 1, 2021, Virginia localities are authorized by HB1919 (§ 15.2-958.3:1) to establish, by ordinance, a green bank to promote investment in solar and other clean energy technologies in that locality. To what extent is your locality considering establishing a green bank?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Not at all: we did not know about the authorizing legislation and/or are unfamiliar with what a green bank is. | 38 | 34.9% |
| Not actively: we are aware of green banks and the authorizing legislation, but we are not actively pursuing establishing one. | 22 | 20.2% |
| Actively: we have had/are having discussions about potentially establishing a green bank. | 2 | 1.8% |
| Not sure if this is being considered at this time. | 47 | 43.1% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 8.1. Does your locality have policies or codes that address large or utility scale (front of the meter) energy storage as an allowable use?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 20 | 18.3% |
| No | 68 | 62.4% |
| Not sure | 21 | 19.3% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 8.2. (If response to Q8.1 = Yes)

Does your locality require emergency preparedness plans for utility scale battery storage projects?

| Does your locality require entergeticy preparedness plans for utility scale battery storage projects: | | | |
|---|-----------|--|--|
| | Frequency | Total Percent (incuding non- respondents) | Valid Percent (of localities that responded) |
| Yes | 10 | 9.2% | 50.0% |
| No | 10 | 9.2% | 50.0% |
| Response Total | 20 | 18.4% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 89 | 81.6% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 8.3. Does your locality have any actively permitted large or utility scale energy storage projects?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 7 | 6.4% |
| No | 95 | 87.2% |
| Not sure | 7 | 6.4% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 8.4. (If response to Q8.3 = Yes; n = 7)

What type(s) of actively permitted large scale energy storage projects does your locality have? Choose all that apply.

| | Number of Selections | Percent |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Lithium Ion Batteries | 5 | 62.5% |
| Not sure | 2 | 25.0% |
| Other | 1 | 12.5% |
| Total | 8 | 100.0% |

Question 8.5. Are there any large or utility scale energy storage projects proposed or planned in your locality?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| Yes | 28 | 25.7% |
| No | 64 | 58.7% |
| Not sure | 17 | 15.6% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

Question 8.6. (If response to Q8.5 = Yes)

Are the proposed project(s) standalone energy storage or tied in with a solar project (solar+storage)?

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |
|---------------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|
| Standalone energy storage | 14 | 12.8% | 50.0% |
| Solar + storage | 7 | 6.4% | 25.0% |
| Not Sure | 4 | 3.7% | 14.3% |
| Other (Please describe) | 3 | 2.8% | 10.7% |
| Response Total | 28 | 25.7% | 100.0% |
| Not Included | 81 | 74.3% | N/A |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% | N/A |

Question 8.7. (If response to Q8.5 = Yes; n = 28)
What type of energy storage projects are proposed or planned? Choose all that apply, if known.

| | Number of Selections | Percent |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Lithium Ion Batteries | 12 | 41.4% |
| Not sure | 16 | 55.2% |
| Other | 1 | 3.4% |
| Total | 29 | 100.0% |

Question 8.8. To what extent has your locality considered solar + storage as a resiliency tool?

| | Frequency | Percent |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Our locality has not considered microgrids as a resiliency tool | 70 | 64.2% |
| Our locality is considering policies to allow and/or promote microgrids as a resiliency tool | 10 | 9.2% |
| Our locality has already adopted policies that allow and/or promote microgrids as a resiliency tool | 2 | 1.8% |
| Not sure | 27 | 24.8% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |

SECTION 9: COMMUNITY CLASSIFICATION (URBANICITY)

| Question 9.3. Of the following choices, how would you characterize your locality? | | |
|---|-----------|---------|
| | Frequency | Percent |
| Urban | 19 | 17.4% |
| Suburban | 10 | 9.2% |
| Rural | 49 | 45.0% |
| Combination (Please describe) | 30 | 27.5% |
| Other (Please describe) | 1 | 0.9% |
| Total | 109 | 100.0% |